

WHY IS FUNDAMENTALISM IN DECLINE?

FUNDAMENTALISM

Positively

**Declares the
Truth**

Negatively

**Defends the
Truth**

Expounds the Truth	The System	Exposes Error
Upholds the Fundamentals	The Spirit	Upbraids Falsehood
Stands for Sound Doctrine	The Stand	Separates from Denials

The Fundamentals of the Faith are:

1. Inspiration of the Scriptures
2. Virgin Birth
3. Deity of Christ
4. Substitutionary Atonement
5. Physical Resurrection and
Return



3A. The Directions of Theology in the 20th Century.

3b. The Modernist-Fundamentalist Controversy.

1c. The rise of Fundamentalism:

1d. The background of the controversy: attacks on orthodoxy.

1e. Philosophical attacks:

1f. Rationalism:

2f. Naturalism:

3f. Materialism:

2e. Scientific attacks:

3e. Theological attacks:

2d. The beginnings of Fundamentalism:

1e. Definition:

A theological movement, originating in 1909, which is determined to conserve the doctrines which lie at the foundation of the Christian system and to combat the dangerous tendencies of liberalism and modernism.

2e. Development:

1f. Bible conferences:

Prophetic Bible conferences. . The first major conference met in 1877 in New York City. Its purpose was to assert the personal, premillennial return of Christ in opposition to the postmillennial idea of Christ's advent. The most influential conference was the Niagara Bible Conference in 1895 where the famous five fundamentals were set forth:

- (1) inerrancy of the Scriptures,
- (2) the deity of Christ,
- (3) His virgin birth,
- (4) substitutionary atonement, and
- (5) physical resurrection and His bodily return.

To these five fundamentals one other may be added:
 (6) the imminent return of Christ. This principle was incorporated at the World Conference in Christian Fundamentals, which convened at Philadelphia in May of 1919, with W. B. Riley as president.

2f. Mass evangelism:

Revivals counteracted carnality and liberalism: Edward Whitefield, Finney and later, Moody, Torrey, and Sunday-222-

Excerpt from my manual
 "Current Theological Trends"
 posted on my website.

THE FUNDAMENTALS

1. INSPIRATION OF THE BIBLE
2. VIRGIN BIRTH OF CHRIST
3. DEITY OF CHRIST
4. SUBSTITUTIONARY ATONEMENT
5. PHYSICAL RESURRECTION AND RETURN

EVANGELICALS & FUNDAMENTALISTS

DECLARE THE GOSPEL

ASSERT THE TRUTH

WITNESS THE TRUTH

SUBSCRIBE TO THE FUNDAMENTALS

FUNDAMENTALISTS

DEFEND THE GOSPEL

ATTACK ERROR

WITHDRAW FROM ERROR

SEPARATE FROM HERESY AND APOSTASY



Manfred C. Kober, Th.D.

The Matter of Militancy

By George Houghton, Th.D. May 1994

I. Militancy – its meaning

The matter of militancy among Bible-believing Christians has fallen upon hard times. This is the age of openness, cooperation, diplomacy, negotiation, and dialogue. To be militant is to be out of step! It is not thought wrong to hold your own convictions, but you must do so quietly and without insisting that others agree with you. Tolerate diversity and pluralism, and you will be well thought of.

What exactly is militancy, anyway? One dictionary says it is to be "engaged in warfare or combat ... aggressively active (as in a cause)." It springs from one's values, is expressed as an attitude, and results in certain behavior. One's values are those things in which one strongly believes. They are what one believes to be fundamentally important and true. From this comes an attitude which is unwilling to tolerate any divergence from these fundamentally important truths and which seeks to defend them. It results in behavior which speaks up when these truths are attacked or diluted and which refuses to cooperate with any activity which would minimize their importance. The term is a military one and carries the idea of defending what one believes to be true.

II. Militancy – its significance

A. Historically:

When the question is asked, "Should we be militant fundamentalists?" the answer is, "There is no other kind!" To genuinely be a fundamentalist, one must be militant. When Curtis Lee Laws coined the term "fundamentalist," he applied it to those who not only believed in the fundamental doctrines of the faith but who also were willing to do "battle royal" for those fundamentals. American Church historian, Robert T. Handy, in describing the differences between the fundamentalists and conservatives in the Northern Baptist Convention in the 1920's, comments, "The main difference between them was probably more a matter of mood and spirit than basic theological divergence. Both subscribed to orthodox Protestant theological tenets, but the fundamentalists were more aggressive, more intransigent, more certain that they had the whole truth and their opponents had none. They not only militantly asserted the plenary inspiration of Scripture, but insisted that they had correctly apprehended its meaning and their opponents not at all." (Robert T. Handy, "Fundamentalism and Modernism in Perspective," *Religion in Life*, Vol. XXIV [1955], p. 39P.)

George Marsden defines a fundamentalist by stating, "A Fundamentalist is an Evangelical who is angry

about something A more precise statement of the same point is that an American fundamentalist is an evangelical who is militant in opposition to liberal theology in the churches or to changes in cultural values or mores, such as those associated with 'secular humanism.' In either the long or the short definitions, fundamentalists are a subtype of evangelicals and militancy is crucial to their outlook. Fundamentalists are not just religious conservatives, they are conservatives who are willing to take a stand to fight." (George M. Marsden. *Understanding Fundamentalism and Evangelicalism*. Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 1991. p. 1.)

When the March 1956 issue of *Christian Life* magazine sought to answer the question, "Is Evangelical Theology Changing?," it prefaced its "yes" answers by saying that in earlier days the fundamentalist watchword was "ye must earnestly contend for the faith," but that today's evangelical watchword was "ye must be born again." The difference was one of emphasis and attitude. Notably missing from evangelicalism today is fundamentalism's militancy.

B. Biblically:

Militancy is presented in Scripture as a proper response for believers. We see the Apostle Paul's condemnation of doctrinal error and those who taught it to the Galatians (1:6-9), his urging that believers in Rome note and separate from those causing divisions and offenses contrary to the doctrine which they had learned (16:17), and his commands to the Ephesian church's leadership (in Acts 20:17-38) challenging them on the basis of the kind of ministry he had had in their midst to take heed to themselves and to their flock that they should shepherd it in a God-honoring way (v. 28). This includes declaring to their people the entire counsel of God (v. 27) and watching out for the flock's spiritual welfare by warning them of wolves and false Christian leaders (v. 29-31). This is the sum and substance of militancy!

Many today, having grown up in fundamentalist circles, do not bear the scars of militancy which their fathers had as a result of conflict with error and defense of the truth. They wear the fundamentalist label, but perhaps a reexamination should be made in light of the militancy issue to see if there is a mislabeling. Perhaps some would really feel more comfortable with the evangelical label. For others such reexamination could be a reminder to them of their need to stand firm and steadfast for the truth, not tolerating error or a toning down of the whole counsel of God. The fundamentalist is convinced that contending earnestly for the faith (Jude 3) is not a debatable option but a divine order.

III. Militancy – its abuse

Some, no doubt, shy away from militancy because it can easily be abused. Militancy, however, is not the same as meanspiritedness. It does not have to arise from poor motives or the desire for personal power. It does not need to be imbalanced, where "issues" become one's hobby horse. Nor does it imply a lack of ethics - rushing into print without checking the facts, false labeling, or guilt by association. If some may be guilty of these abuses, the corrective is not an abandonment of militancy, but, rather, an ethical, careful, kind and yet firm outspokenness which stands for the truth and is willing to defend it against error. May God help us to be militant fundamentalists!

Dr. George Houghton

Former VP of Academic Services at Faith Baptist Bible College - Other Articles



George Houghton, former vice president for academic services and college dean at Faith Baptist Bible College and Theological Seminary, is a graduate of Bethel College, Central Conservative Baptist Theological Seminary, and holds a Master's and a Doctor of Theology degree from Dallas Theological Seminary. He retired in 2010 after serving for 36 years at the institution.

The Fundamentals for Today

CHARLES L. FEINBERG, Editor

COMPLETE IN ONE VOLUME



KREGEL PUBLICATIONS

GRAND RAPIDS 6, MICHIGAN

1961

Contents

Foreword	5
Editor's Preface	7
1. The History of the Higher Criticism —	Canon Dyson Hague, M.A. 13
2. The Mosaic Authorship of the Pentateuch —	Prof. George F. Wright, D.D., LL.D. 21
<i>and</i> Three Peculiarities of the Pentateuch Incompatible with the Graf-Wellhausen Theories of its Composition —	Andrew C. Robinson, M.A.
3. The Bible and Modern Criticism	F. Bettez, D.D. 27
4. The Holy Scriptures and Modern Negations —	Prof. James Orr, D.D. 33
5. Old Testament Criticism and New Testament Christianity —	Prof. W. H. Griffith Thomas, D.D. 45
6. The Testimony of Christ to the Old Testament —	William Caven, D.D., LL.D. 57
7. The Internal Evidence of the Fourth Gospel —	Canon G. Osborne Troop, M.A. 67
8. The Early Narratives of Genesis —	Prof. James Orr, D.D. 75
9. One Isaiah —	Prof. George L. Robinson, D.D. 81
10. The Book of Daniel —	Prof. Joseph D. Wilson, D.D. 89
11. The Doctrinal Value of the First Chapter of Genesis —	Rev. Dyson Hague, M.A. 95
12. The Testimony of Archaeology to the Scriptures — Being the chapter on "The Testimony of the Monuments to the Truth of the Scriptures" — <i>and</i> the chapter on "The Recent Testimony of Archaeology to the Scriptures" —	Prof. George F. Wright, D.D. 109
13. Science and Christian Faith —	M. G. Kyle, D.D., LL.D.
14. The Inspiration of the Bible — Definition, Extent and Proof —	Rev. Prof. James Orr, D.D. 115
	Rev. James M. Gray, D.D. 125

Inspiration —	<i>L. W. Munhall, M.A., D.D.</i>	147
The Moral Glory of Jesus Christ, A Proof of Inspiration —	<i>Rev. Wm. G. Moorehead, D.D.</i>	159
The Testimony of the Scriptures to Themselves —	<i>Rev. Geo. S. Bishop, D.D.</i>	171
Testimony of the Organic Unity of the Bible to Its Inspiration —	<i>Arthur T. Pierson</i>	177
Fulfilled Prophecy a Potent Argument for the Bible —	<i>Arno C. Gaebelein</i>	185
Life in the Word —	<i>Philip Mauro</i>	191
Is There a God? —	<i>Rev. Thomas Whitelaw,</i>	215
	<i>M.A., D.D.</i>	
God in Christ the Only Revelation of the Fatherhood of God —	<i>Robert E. Speer</i>	225
The Deity of Christ —	<i>Prof. Benjamin B. Warfield,</i>	235
	<i>D.D., LL.D.</i>	
The Virgin Birth of Christ —	<i>Rev. Prof. James Orr, D.D.</i>	241
The God-Man —	<i>John Stock</i>	251
The Certainty and Importance of the Bodily Resurrection of Jesus Christ from the Dead —	<i>Rev. R. A. Torrey, D.D.</i>	265
The Personality and Deity of the Holy Spirit —	<i>Rev. R. A. Torrey, D.D.</i>	281
The Holy Spirit and The Sons of God —	<i>Rev. W. J. Erdman, D.D.</i>	287
Christianity No Fable —	<i>Rev. Thomas Whitelaw,</i>	295
	<i>M.A., D.D.</i>	
The Biblical Conception of Sin —	<i>Rev. Thomas Whitelaw,</i>	305
	<i>M.A., D.D.</i>	
Paul's Testimony to the Doctrine of Sin —	<i>Prof. Charles B. Williams,</i>	315
	<i>B.D., Ph.D.</i>	
Sin and Judgment to Come —	<i>Sir Robert Anderson,</i>	327
	<i>K.C.B., LL.D.</i>	
What Christ Teaches Concerning Future Retribution —	<i>Rev. William C. Proctor,</i>	333
	<i>F. Ph.</i>	
The Atonement —	<i>Prof. Franklin Johnson,</i>	341
	<i>D.D., LL.D.</i>	
At-One-Ment, By Propitiation —	<i>Dyson Hague, M.A.</i>	351
The Grace of God —	<i>C. I. Scofield, D.D.</i>	363
Salvation By Grace —	<i>Rev. Thomas Spurgeon</i>	373

38. The Nature of Regeneration —	<i>Thomas Boston</i>	389
39. Regeneration, Conversion, Reformation —	<i>Rev. George W. Lasher,</i>	395
	<i>D.D., LL.D.</i>	
40. Justification By Faith —	<i>Bishop H. C. G. Moule</i>	401
41. The Doctrines That Must Be Emphasized in Successful Evangelism —	<i>L. W. Munhall, M.A., D.D.</i>	409
42. Preach the Word —	<i>Howard Crosby</i>	417
43. Pastoral and Personal Evangelism, or Winning Men to Christ One by One —	<i>Rev. John Timothy Stone, D.D.</i>	425
44. The Sunday School's True Evangelism —	<i>Charles Gallaudet Trumbull</i>	433
45. The Place of Prayer in Evangelism —	<i>Rev. R. A. Torrey, D.D.</i>	443
46. Foreign Missions, or World-Wide Evangelism —	<i>Robert E. Speer</i>	451
47. What Missionary Motives Should Prevail? —	<i>Rev. Henry W. Frost</i>	467
48. Consecration —	<i>Rev. Henry W. Frost</i>	475
49. Is Romanism Christianity? —	<i>T. W. Medhurst</i>	485
50. Rome, the Antagonist of the Nation —	<i>Rev. J. M. Foster</i>	495
51. The True Church —	<i>Bishop John C. Ryle</i>	505
52. The Purposes of the Incarnation —	<i>Rev. G. Campbell Morgan, D.D.</i>	509
53. Modern Philosophy —	<i>Philip Mauro,</i>	525
	<i>Counselor-at-Law</i>	
54. The Knowledge of God —	<i>Rev. David James Burrell,</i>	539
	<i>D.D., LL.D.</i>	
55. The Science of Conversion —	<i>Rev. H. M. Sydenstricker,</i>	549
	<i>Ph.D.</i>	
56. The Passing of Evolution —	<i>Prof. George F. Wright</i>	559
	<i>D.D., LL.D.</i>	
57. The Sabbath —	<i>Charles L. Feinberg,</i>	573
	<i>Th.D., Ph.D.</i>	
58. The Apologetic Value of Paul's Epistles —	<i>Rev. E. J. Stobo, Jr.,</i>	579
	<i>B.A., S.T.D.</i>	
59. Divine Efficacy of Prayer —	<i>Arthur T. Pierson, D.D.</i>	585
60. Our Lord's Teachings About Money —	<i>Arthur T. Pierson, D.D.</i>	601

61. "The Scriptures" —	<i>Rev. A. C. Dixon, D.D.</i>	609
62. What the Bible Contains for the Believer —	<i>Rev. George F. Pentecost, D.D.</i>	617
63. The Hope of the Church —	<i>Rev. John Mc Nicol, B.A., B.D.</i>	627
64. The Coming of Christ —	<i>Prof. Charles R. Erdman, D.D.</i>	637
65. The Testimony of Christian Experience —	<i>Pres. E. Y. Mullins,</i>	647
	<i>D.D., LL.D.</i>	



Harry Emerson Fosdick

LIBERALISM



Karl Barth

NEOORTHODOXY



Carl F. H. Henry

NEO-
EVANGELICALISM



Carl McIntire

FUNDAMENTALISM

1850+	1919	1956	1909
STARTED HIGHER CRITICISM	SELECTS SOME TRUTHS (Deity of Christ, Sinfulness of Man)	STRESSES SCHOLARSHIP	STANDS FOR THE FIVE FUNDAMENTALS
SEES ERRORS IN THE BIBLE	SURRENDERS OTHER TRUTHS (Inerrancy of the Scriptures, Impeccability of Christ)	SEEKS DIALOGUE WITH EVERYONE	SEPARATES FROM ERRING BELIEVERS, UNBELIEVERS